

From Hours to Margins: The Power of Operational Visibility

*Dynamic BMS Study Group – Research Note
August 2026*

Abstract

In manufacturing and installation environments, labor and process costs frequently remain partially invisible until after the fact. This research note synthesizes academic and practitioner evidence demonstrating that the simple act of increasing the visibility and quality of data—through a centralized record of hours worked against specific processes, projects, and individual production orders—produces rapid improvements in productivity, reductions in cost, and corresponding gains in profit margins. Drawing on field experiments in performance feedback, McKinsey studies of real-time performance management, and shop-floor visual management research, the note shows that productivity gains of 8–35 % and OEE improvements of 20–30 % are commonly reported within weeks to months of introducing high-resolution, actionable visibility. The findings are particularly relevant for discrete, project-based, and engineering-to-order operations where cost transparency at the production-order level enables immediate managerial intervention.

Keywords: operational visibility, labor tracking, production order costing, real-time feedback, manufacturing productivity, shop-floor management, profit margins

1. Introduction

Most manufacturing and installation organizations can report total labor hours and overall project costs. Far fewer can see, in near real time, how those hours and costs distribute across specific processes, individual projects, or discrete production orders (work orders / items). The resulting opacity delays intervention, obscures true cost drivers, and weakens accountability. The literature on performance measurement, visual management, and real-time feedback consistently indicates that closing this visibility gap yields rapid operational and financial returns.

2. The Mechanisms Linking Visibility to Productivity

Three interlocking mechanisms explain the impact. First, real-time or near-real-time feedback enables corrective action during the shift rather than after it ends. Second, transparent cost attribution at process and production-order level makes inefficiencies visible and attributable, increasing accountability. Third, the same data stream supports more accurate quoting, scheduling, and continuous improvement.

A field experiment published in the *Journal of Operations Management* (Kwasnitschka et al., 2024) examined 29,669 machine status reports across two plants. Providing near-real-time, positively framed individual feedback via smartwatches increased productivity by 10.4 % relative to team-level feedback. An earlier field experiment on goal setting and feedback (using information systems) raised manufacturing cell productivity from approximately 55.5 % to 65.9 %—an improvement of more than 10 percentage points—outperforming traditional supervisory methods.

3. Practitioner Evidence on Real-Time Performance Visibility

McKinsey's work on active performance management in high-mix, low-volume industrials shows that organizations that incorporate real-time performance visibility, daily planning, and end-to-end accountability typically improve productivity by 30–50 % within 8–12 weeks. In one documented case, real-time tracking alone lifted productivity by 8 percentage points on a complex line; a broader transformation emphasizing transparency raised overall productivity by 35 % in 16 weeks. Complementary analyses report 15–20 % cost reductions and significant capacity unlocks when performance data become continuously visible and actionable.

Industry studies of real-time OEE monitoring similarly report 20–30 % improvements in OEE scores within the first quarter once loss categories become visible and targetable. Case implementations of integrated labor and machine tracking (for example, MachineMetrics deployments) document 20 % gains in machine utilization and dramatic reductions in overtime hours.

4. Visual Management and Shop-Floor Transparency

The visual management literature (Bititci et al., 2016; Bateman et al., 2016; Tezel et al., 2016) demonstrates that making performance, status, and problems immediately visible on the shop floor improves communication, accelerates problem solving, and supports continuous improvement. Digital visual systems that replace delayed paper or end-of-shift reports further compress the time between deviation and response, delivering measurable gains in on-time delivery and reduction of supervisory non-value-added time.

5. Relevance to Production-Order-Level Costing in Project and Discrete Manufacturing

In engineering-to-order, joinery, and installation environments, the natural unit of analysis is frequently the individual production order or project item. Centralized recording of hours worked against these units transforms abstract labor cost into concrete, comparable data: actual versus standard hours per process step, cost variance by team, and true margin by order. Because the data are available while the order is still in progress (or immediately upon completion), managers can reallocate resources, adjust methods, or renegotiate scope before losses accumulate. The literature implies that this granularity produces faster feedback loops than aggregated project- or plant-level reporting alone.

6. Conclusions

The evidence indicates that elevating the visibility and quality of labor and cost data—particularly when hours are recorded centrally against specific processes, projects, and individual production orders—generates rapid, measurable improvements. Documented outcomes include productivity increases of 8–35 %, OEE gains of 20–30 %, and cost reductions in the range of 15–20 %, with corresponding expansion of profit margins. These effects appear within weeks to a few months and are driven by the ability to intervene in real time, strengthen accountability, and base continuous improvement on accurate rather than estimated data.

For organizations in manufacturing and installation, the practical implication is clear: investing in a reliable, centralized system that captures hours worked at process and production-order level is not merely an administrative improvement. It is a direct lever on productivity, cost, and margin.

References

- Bateman, N., Philp, L., & Warrender, H. (2016). Visual management and shop floor teams – development, implementation and use. *International Journal of Production Research*, 54(24), 7345–7358.
- Bititci, U., Cocca, P., & Ates, A. (2016). Impact of visual performance management systems on the performance management practices of organisations. *International Journal of Production Research*, 54(6), 1571–1593.
- Kwasnitschka, D., et al. (2024). Effects of feedback in manufacturing: A field experiment using smartwatch technology. *Journal of Operations Management*.
- McKinsey & Company. (2020). Energizing industrial manufacturing through active performance management.
- Tezel, A., Koskela, L., & Tzortzopoulos, P. (2016). Visual management in production management: A literature synthesis. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 27(6), 766–799.